305R

0000030909

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

2001 NAY 31 P 2: 43

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Chairman JIM IRVIN Commissioner

MAY 3 1 2001

AZ CORP CENTASSIGN ACOMEMENT CONTROL

MARC SPITZER
Commissioner

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DOCKETED BY SE

DOCKET NO. T-03882A-00-0356

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF ENKIDO, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE
OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO
PROVIDE COMPETITIVE FACILITIES-BASED
AND RESOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE,
EXCHANGE ACCESS, AND INTEREXCHANGE
ACCESS, AND INTEREXCHANGE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AND
PETITION FOR COMPETITIVE
CLASSIFICATIONS OF PROPOSED SERVICES)

STAFF'S RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME

On May 24, 2000, Enkido, inc. ("Enkido") submitted to Docket Control of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, exchange access, and interchange telecommunications services statewide.

On May 9, 2001, a hearing was held in this matter. At the hearing, Staff indicated that it was amending its recommendation with respect to the requirement of a performance bond. The amendment was an attempt to bring the old staff report in line with the new Commission performance bond policy. Enkido indicated that it would like additional time to respond to the amendment. On May 11, 2000, Enkido filed a response in which it requested waiver of the performance bond requirement, because it has filed updated audited financial statements, does not provide voice or dial services and isn't requiring customers to submit deposits, prepayments or advances in order to receive service.

Staff objects to Enkido's request of a waiver of the performance bond requirement, because the performance bond requirement is a Commission policy that is required of all facilities based applicants, regardless if their financials are audited or unaudited. Enkido has indicated in its May 11, 2000 response that it intends only to provide data services and not voice services. Even so, once

27 28

the certificate is granted, Staff's concern is that Enkido's certificate grants to it the authority to carry voice services, which Enkido may decided to carry at a later date, which would not require additional Commission notification. If this occurs, then Enkido could, as industry custom provides, require 4 customers to submit deposits, prepayments or advances in order to receive service. As such, in the 5 interest of efficiency in the application process and protecting consumers, it is Staff's contention that a performance bond requirement is appropriate at this time. Accordingly, staff urges acceptance of its recommendation of a performance bond. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 31ST day of May 2001. M. Willi

Devinti M. Williams Staff Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-3402

16

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

Original and ten (10) copies 17 of the foregoing filed this 31st day of May, 2001 with:

18

19

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20 21

Copy of the foregoing were mailed this 31st day of May, 2001, to:

22

23 Ali Aliabadi ENKIDO, INC.

401 Hackensack Ave., 5th Floor

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

24

25

26

27

Timothy Berg FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

28

Debra Scott, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Service, Inc. 2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1104

Sheri T. Maya Sheri L. Moya